

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT W. D. OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

2 Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. MJ09-5020

3 JOSE PRADO-GARCIA,

4 Defendant.

DETENTION ORDER

6 THE COURT, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142, finds as follows:

7 1) No condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as
8 required and/or the safety of any other person or the community. This finding is based on 1) the nature and circumstances of the
9 offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic drug; 2) the weight of the evidence
10 against the person; 3) the history and characteristics of the person including those set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A)(B); and 4) the
nature and seriousness of the danger release would impose to any person or the community.

11 2) No less restrictive condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required
12 and/or the safety of any other person and the community, including but not limited to those conditions set forth in 18 U.S.C.
3142(c)(1)(B).

13 3) Detention is presumed, without adequate rebuttal, pursuant to 18 U.S.C 3142(e) (if noted as applicable below):

14 () Conviction of a Federal offense involving a crime of violence. 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(f)
15 () Potential maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death. 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(f)
16 () Potential maximum sentence of 10+ years as prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq.), the
Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq.) Or the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act (46
U.S.C. App. 1901 et seq.)
17 () Convictions of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) of two or more
State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in said subparagraphs if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses.

18 4) Safety Reasons Supporting Detention (if noted as applicable below):

19 () Defendant is currently on probation/supervision resulting from a prior offense.
20 () Defendant was on bond on other charges at time of alleged occurrences herein.
21 () Defendant's prior criminal history.
22 () Nature of allegations.

23 Flight Risk/Appearance Reasons Supporting Detention (if noted as applicable below):


24 () Defendant's lack of community ties and resources.
25 (X) Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement Detainer.
26 () Detainer(s)/Warrant(s) from other jurisdictions.
27 () Failures to appear for past court proceedings.
28 () Repeated violations of court orders for supervision.

Order of Detention

The defendant shall be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal, without prejudice to review.

► The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
► The defendant shall on order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, be delivered to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

January 28, 2009.

 David W. Christel, U.S. Magistrate Judge